	Case 2:21-cv-01878-WBS-JDP Docume	ent 44 Filed 09/30/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BOBBY RAY GRANT Jr.,	Case No. 2:21-cv-01878-WBS-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	V.	RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE
14	PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,	DAYS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On July 17, 2024, defendant filed a motion to revoke plaintiff's in forma pauperis	
18	privileges. ECF No. 41. To date, plaintiff has not filed a response.	
19	To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines.	
20	The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to comply with its orders	
21	or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S.	
22	Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir.	
23	1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer	
24	justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291	
25	F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.	
26	I will give plaintiff a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his	
27	failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion. Plaintiff's	
28	failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result	

## in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 30, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:21-cv-01878-WBS-JDP Document 44 Filed 09/30/24 Page 2 of 2